A NATIONAL STUDY OF THE SUPPLY AND DEMAND FOR TEACHERS OF VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE IN 1987

William G. Camp Associate Professor Cathy S. Hively Agriculture Teacher May 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of C	Conten	ts					•					•	2
Preface .	•	•											3
A National	Stud	У											4
Data Sourc	es												6
National A	nalys	es		•				•					8
	Secon	dar	Y I	eac	her	s							8
	Gradu	ate	s a	and	Pla	cen	ent	s					10
	Types	of	T€	each	ing	Po	sit	ion	ıs				12
	Post-	Sec	ond	lary	r Pc	sit	ion	ıs					14
Regional a	and St	ate	e Ar	naly	rses	;							16
	Secon	ndar	Y 7	Ceac	hin	ıg E	Posi	tic	ns			•	16
	Gradu	iate	es a	and	Pla	cen	nent	s			•		19
Conclusion	ns .		•	•						•	•	•	26
Recommenda	ations	5		•						•		•,	27
References	5 .			•			•		•				28
Appendices	5.		•		•		•	•		•			30
	Supp]	Ly]	Inst	trun	nent	:	•					•	30
	Demar	nd 1	Inst	trun	nent	:							31
	Cove	16	ette	er	•		•	•					34
	News	Rel	Leas	se	•		•		•				35
	Fact	She	eet										36

Preface

For the twenty-third year, the Agricultural Education Division of the American Vocational Association has authorized the collection and dissemination of national data on the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture. What began in 1965 as a response to a perceived shortage of vocational agriculture teachers has evolved into a long-standing annual study used for student counseling, recruitment, and program planning.

A <u>CORRECTION</u> to the 1986 study. An error has been found in reporting the number of teaching positions for Texas. The number reported was 999; the actual number should have been reported as 1539. That affected the U. S. total number of positions, which was incorrectly reported as 11,042. The correct number was 11,589. The tables in the present study have been corrected accordingly.

This paper reports the results of the 1987 study. Recommendations include the initiation of a separate study of the supply and demand, working conditions, salary, and characteristics of post-secondary teachers of agricultural education. A second recommendation is the formation of an advisory committee to reexamine the form and purposes of the study in future years.

In response to the request of the Professional Personnel Recruitment Committee of the Ag. Ed. Division, chaired by Dr. Jacquelyn Deeds of Mississippi State University, which authorizes and sponsors the study, a series of brief news releases are being prepared for dissemination. One such release is included in the appendices. Users of the study are encouraged to duplicate the release and send it to appropriate media and agencies that may have a use for such information.

A NATIONAL STUDY OF THE SUPPLY AND DEMAND FOR

TEACHERS OF

VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE

IN 1987

Passage of the Vocational Education Act of 1963 set off a series of events that have produced a marked expansion in the size of the agricultural education program in America. Many forces have affected the supply and demand for teachers in vocational agriculture during the decades since that landmark federal legislation. Teacher shortages were the norm for most of those years. As a result of a perceived nationwide shortage of teachers of vocational agriculture, the Agricultural Education Division of the American Vocational Association authorized Dr. Ralph Wooden, of The Ohio State University to initiate a national study in 1965 of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in the United States (D. G. Craig, personal communication, July, 1985).

For virtually all of the intervening years, until the early 1980's, there was a continuing shortfall in the number of qualified teachers seeking employment in teaching vocational agriculture (Camp, 1987). Yet, even in years when substantial numbers of departments could not open because of a lack of qualified teachers seeking employment, the very existence of a shortage of vocational agriculture teachers was often a source of debate (Parmley, Bowen, & Warmbrod, 1979; Craig, 1985).

There has been a national trend during the mid-1980's toward increasing academic requirements for high school graduation (Frantz, Strickland, & Elson, 1987). This trend typically has been inter-

preted to mean more math, science, and English, and less of everything else for high school students. That situation, coupled with an overall nationwide decline in the total number of high school students during the first half of this decade, produced a general perception within the profession that agricultural education could expect enrollment problems in many states.

Because of that uncertainty and because the teacher shortages in the profession, which had marked agricultural education for so many years, seemed to be a thing of the past, the faculties of many teacher education programs relaxed recruitment efforts. When we add to that situation the general malaise in the agricultural industry nationwide, from which we seem to be beginning to emerge, it is easy to see that declines undergraduate enrollments in agricultural teacher education programs might be affected. Indeed, that result was reported by this study last year (Camp, 1987).

At the same time, public criticism of education in general has produced an increased public concern and commitment to improve the educational system in this country (Holmes, 1986). One of the implications of this latest development appears to be an increased effort at the professionalization of teaching and an improved status for teachers and for teaching, as well as increased salaries for teachers in most states (Carnegie, 1986). These improvements, coupled with the perception by the American public that a serious, overall teacher shortage may be imminent, appear to be producing an upswing in the number of undergraduates entering teacher education programs. This situation seems to hold whether the impending teacher shortage is real or is indeed only imaginary, as posited by Hecker (1986).

Thus, there are two countervailing forces affecting both the need for replacement teachers and the availability of teacher education students. Clearly, it is important that teachers, state supervisory staff, and teacher educators in agricultural education know the status of the supply and demand for teachers within the profession as we counsel potential teacher education students and as they make long range plans for program directions. The purpose of this study is to provide just such information.

Data Sources

The very influential study, <u>Teacher Supply and Demand in Public Schools</u>, sponsored and published by the National Education Association frequently reported substantially different results from this study, in terms of the supply of agricultural education graduates and in terms of the balance between the required number of replacements and the number of graduates seeking jobs. That study, for example, showed 1200 graduates and 525 replacements needed in agricultural education in 1980, Graybeal (1981), as compared to the actual total of 1468 graduates, 766 (calculated from reported 52.2%) of whom initially entered teaching, as reported by Craig (1981). It is difficult to determine why such discrepancies exist between two studies that purport to estimate the same population parameters. Yet, this study is generally accepted in the profession as the most accurate source of supply and demand data for agriculture teachers nationwide (D. G. Craig, personal communication, July, 1985).

The source of new teacher supply data is the head teacher educator at each institution producing agricultural education graduates (Henry, 1986; Herren, 1987). The source of demand data is the person in each state having direct supervisory responsibility for agricultural education (U. S. Department of Education, 1987). It is from those two sources, with a 100% response rate from teacher education institutions and a 96% response rate from state supervisors, that this study estimates the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in the United States for 1987. Officials from the two states of Minnesota and Louisiana failed to respond with current information, and the data for those states from the 1986 study were used as "best estimates" for the 1987 data.

All institutions of higher education in the United States with specific programs for the training and certification of teachers of agriculture made up the first population. The list was compiled from five sources: Rogers (1985), Henry (1986), D. G. Craig (personal communication, July, 1985), Herren (1987), and the results of the 1986 Supply and Demand Study (Camp, 1987). In August, 1987 a Survey of the Supply of Teachers of Vocational Agriculture in the United States was mailed to the head teacher educators at those institutions along with a cover letter, reminding them of the nature and purpose of the study. In October a follow-up letter and second copy of the instrument were mailed to non-respondents. In November, a second follow-up letter and a third copy of the instrument were mailed to continuing non-respondents. In January a third follow-up, consisting of a hand-written letter and still another copy of the instrument were mailed. In February, March, and April telephone calls were made to the remaining non-respondents, followed by still additional copies of the instrument. Three institutions' responses were taken by telephone interview. As a result, a 100% return rate was achieved among teacher education institutions.

The second population was that of head state supervisors of agricultural education. That list was compiled from Henry (1986), D. G. Craig (personal communication, July, 1985), The United States Department of Education Directory of State Officials with Responsibility for Programs of Vocational Education in Agriculture (U. S. Department of Education, 1987), and the results of the 1986 study (Camp, 1987). A Survey of the Demand for Teachers of Vocational Agriculture in the United States, and a cover letter, were mailed in September. All of the follow-up procedures listed above were used. Of the 50 head state supervisors, all except two either responded or referred the researcher to someone who could supply the information. In all cases, the person referred to was the head teacher educator in an appropriate agricultural teacher education program. As was reported earlier, officials from Minnesota and Louisiana failed to respond. Requests to the teacher education institutions in those states also failed to elicit responses. The data from the 1986 study were used for those two states.

Data for long-term comparisons were extracted from Craig (1981), Craig (1983), Craig (1984), Craig (1985), and Camp (1987).

National Analyses

Secondary Teachers

After correcting the longitudinal data for 1986 to reflect an error discovered in the 1986 study, Table 1 shows that the downward trend in teaching positions continued for another year. Nationwide, a decline in the number of secondary (including junior high, middle, and high schools) teaching positions in vocational agriculture fell by 378 between school year 1985-86 and school year 1986-87. That is substantially more than the previous year's decline of 105.

Table 1								
Number of	f Teachers	of	Vocational	Agriculture	in	the	United	States
1983-198	7 a							

Item	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Total teachers during School Year 1986-87	12,099	11,960	11,687	11,582	d 11,204
Number of teachers leaving, end SY 86-87	1,354	1,173	1,043	956	874
Change in number of positions (net) b	-375	-139	-273	-105	-378
Teachers needed but unavailable September	42	19	8	20	14
Teachers with temporar emergency certificates		102	140	94	129
Departments which will not operate because of the lack of a teacher		4	3	0	5

a Source: head state supervisors

Again, only a very small number of teachers (14) were still needed on September 1, but for the first time in two years, departments (5) were unable to open because no teacher was available.

Also noteworthy is the fact that the number of emergency certified teachers is up from 94 in fall 1986 to 129 in fall 1987.

An examination of table 2 shows long term trends in total numbers of teachers, totals qualified to teach, and placement rates in teaching. In addition, the numbers of teachers needed but not

b The net change reported here differs from that shown in table 8.
The figure reported here = 1987 grand total - 1986 grand total. Net changes in Table 7 reflect estimated change from FY 1987 to FY 1988.

c Source: head teacher educators

d Figures are corrected from 1986 study

available as of September 1 of each year reflects the degree of severity of the teacher shortage. The shortage has been much less acute during the 1980's than before, particularly since 1982.

Table 2
A Comparison of Selected Information on the Supply of Secondary
Teachers of Vocational Agriculture in 1965 and During the Last Ten
Years

Year	Total No. of Positions a	But Not Available	~	d Percent of Those Qualified Entering Teaching b
1965	10,378	120	1,038	64.6
1978	12,844	189	1,791	56.7
1979	12,772	144	1,656	54.9
1980	12,510	117	1,584	52.0
1981	12,450	98	1,468	52.2
1982	12,474	35	1,368	51.3
1983	12,099	42	1,277	45.6
1984	11,960	19	1,249	45.2
1985	11,687	8	1,207	40.8
1986	11,582	20	964	41.2
1987	11,204	14	952	41.6

a Source: head state supervisors

b Source: head teacher educators

Graduates and Placements

Table 3 has changed in this year's study, to reflect the growing number of institutions involved in the preparation of teachers through programs other than the traditional four-year teacher edu-

cation degree approach. Because of the wording on the survey instruments (see appendices, Camp, 1987), it is a safe assumption that the total number qualified prior to 1987 reflect four-year program completers.

Table 3
Number (and Percentages) of Agricultural Education Graduates Entering Various Occupations during Selected Years a, b

Occupation	1975	1980	1985	1987
Total Number Qualified 4-year program 5-year program other programs	1660	1584	1207	952
	1660	1584	1207	808
	NA	NA	NA	92
	NA	NA	NA	52
Teaching Vo-Ag	999	824	493	396
	(60.2)	(52.0)	(40.8)	(41.6)
Ag Business	125	219	222	207
	(7.5)	(13.8)	(18.4)	(21.7)
Graduate Work	163	163	166	114
	(9.8)	(10.3)	(13.8)	(12.0)
Other Work	164	139	118	101
	(9.9)	(8.8)	(9.8)	(10.6)
Farming	136	120	115	68
	(8.2)	(7.6)	(9.5)	(7.1)
Unemployed	N/A	57 (3.6)	88 (7.3)	60 (6.3)
Other Teaching	55	36	53	39
	(3.3)	(2.3)	(4.4)	(4.1)
Armed Forces	18	25	18	5
	(1.1)	(1.6)	(1.5)	(0.5)
Extension Service	N/A	N/A	29 (2.4)	29 (3.0)

a Source: head teacher educators

b Column percentage in parentheses

Because other certification programs are becoming more important, this year we have gathered information that allows us to differentiate among completers of the various programs. On first glance, the drastic decline in total number qualified reported last year (Camp, 1987) from 1207 in 1985 to 964 in 1986, seems to have abated. But, further examination shows that the decline in four-year graduates has continued. The numbers for 1986 and prior years do not include five-year and other program completers. Thus, the number of four-year teacher education students qualifying to teach declined from 964 last year to 808 in 1987.

The number of graduates entering farming has declined substantially from 115 in 1985 to 68 in 1987. Those entering agribusiness careers has remained high at about 21%. The percentage entering teaching immediately upon completion of certification requirements rose slightly for the second consecutive year, from 40.8 in 1986 to 41.2 and 41.6 in 1986 and 1987, respectively.

Of ongoing concern to the profession is the continuing decline in the undergraduate teacher education population. That number has declined by 33.1% in the last two years, from 1207 in 1985 to 808 in 1987.

Types of Teaching Positions

Table 4 presents data on the school level, school organizational basis, size of department, and kind of agricultural program. The predominant school level for agricultural education in the United States remained the high school, at nearly 62% of the total. The second largest group was a combination of in-school and out-of-school teachers, at 17.7%. Very few teachers (2.7%) worked with adults or young farmer groups exclusively.

Table 4
Types of Secondary Teaching Positions in Vocational Agriculture, 1987 a

Types of Secondary Teaching Positions in Vo	cational	Agricult	<u>ure, 1987</u> a
The same of the sa		umber	Percent of
Type of Position	1986	1987	total, 1987
By Kind of Students			
Teachers of junior high/middle school	290	467	4.2
classes only	6 606	6,929	61.8
Teachers of high school classes only Teachers of combination junior high/middle	6,606	0,929	01.0
school and high school	1,236	1,466	13.1
Teachers of combination in-school and	_,	_,	
out-of-school classes (adult and/or			
young farmer classes)	1,920	1,985	17.7
Teachers of adult and young farmer	101	2.2.4	
classes only	194	304	2.7
Information not available	796	143	1.3
By Kind of School			
Teachers in general or comprehensive	0 501	0 (00	= -
high schools	8,581	8,602	76.8
Teachers in area vocational high schools Teachers in vocational high schools	769 422	1,084 224	9.7 2.0
Information not available	1,270	1,384	12.4
Informacion not available	1,270	1,504	12.4
By Size of Staff			
Teachers in single-teacher departments	5,569	6,095	54.4
Teachers in multi-teacher departments Information not available	4,545 928	4,207 992	37.5 8.9
Informacion not available	920	992	0.9
By Kind of Program			
Teachers in full-time production	4 400	2 ((0	22.7
agriculture programs Teachers in full-time ornamental	4,499	3,668	32.7
horticulture programs	1,060	1,084	9.7
Teachers in combination program	1,000	1,001	J.,
including production agriculture	3,430	2,362	21.1
Teachers in combination program	•	•	
not including production agriculture	NA	1,263	11.3
Teaches full time in			
Natural Resources	181	168	1.5
Agricultural Products	20	42	0.4
Agricultural Mechanics	632	641	5.7
Agricultural Sales & Services	173	184	1.6
Teachers part time in ag and part time outside ag	112	550	4.9
Information not available	935	1,332	11.9
	233	1,552	11.7

a Source: head state supervisors

b Percentages may not total 100% due to rounding. N = 11,204

The most common school organization was the regular or comprehensive high school (76.8%), with only 9.7% in area vocational schools and 2.0% in vocational high schools. Most teachers are in single-teacher programs (54.4%) and are full-time production agriculture (32.7%), or some combination including production agriculture (21.1%).

Post-Secondary Teaching Positions

As in years past, the reports of post-secondary teaching positions must be interpreted with care. The organizational structure of agricultural education is very diverse from state to state. In places, the post-secondary programs are in vocational-technical centers. In others, they are in community colleges. In still others, they are at regular colleges or universities. In some places, the programs are college-transfer, while in others they are terminal programs. In some states, the head state supervisor of agricultural education has a degree of administrative responsibility for the programs. In other states, the programs are administered by entirely different agencies.

To the extent that the head state supervisors of agricultural education were able to provide meaningful data, table 5 reflects the employment patterns for post-secondary agricultural education programs in 1986-87. The typical teacher in those programs was a full-time (86.7%) teacher, in a community college (26.0%), working in a multi-teacher department (48.9%), and teaching in some sort of specialized program (21.5%) or production agriculture (19.8%).

Table 5
Types of Post Secondary Teaching Positions in Vocational Agriculture in FY 1986 and 1987 a

		mber	Percent of		
Type of Position	1986	1987	Total, 1987		
By Employment Time					
Teachers who teach full-time Teachers who teach part-time Teachers who teach part-time as well as	921 394	2,143 258	86.7 10.4		
adult and/or young farmer classes Information not available Total	41 100 1,456	72 2,473	2.9		
By Kind of School	_				
Teachers in area schools Teachers in community colleges Teachers in technical institutes Information not available	73 813 305 265	321 642 163 1,347	13.0 26.0 6.6 54.5		
By Size of Staff					
Teachers in single-teacher departments Teachers in multiple-teacher departments Information not available	260 691 505	684 1,209 580			
By Kind of Program			anangkamarkitat digayahin akalahadi (kandida tarimarkili), da dada yada <u>angi mpamarkan</u> gan-		
Teachers in full-time production agriculture Teachers in full-time ornamental	579	490	19.8		
horticulture Teachers in part-time production agriculture and part-time in	202	342	13.8		
specialized programs such as agricultural supplies or other Teachers in specialized programs such	177	69	2.8		
as agricultural mechanics or other Information not available	247 251	532 1,040	21.5 42.1		
a Source: head state supervisors					

Regional and State Analyses

Secondary Teaching Positions

Examination of table 6 reveals that 33 states lost positions in agricultural education this school year (1987-88) as compared to last year, eight gained, and nine had no change. This year, the largest loss was in Illinois, at -55. That was followed by Texas, with -31 and New York with -13. The largest gain was in California, where a net of 30 positions were added.

Texas, as one might expect, had the largest number of teachers during school year 1986-87, overall, at 1,471, and the largest turnover, at 92. It should be noted, as the preface explains, the data reported in this location for Texas in the 1986 study (Camp, 1987) was in error. The error is corrected in this study, tables 1, 2, and 3. The second largest state, in terms of numbers of vocational agriculture teachers, was California, with 603, followed by Florida with 470 and Oklahoma with 464.

The states with the smallest numbers of teachers were Alaska (10), Maine (11), Rhode Island (12), Vermont (25) and Hawaii (26). In terms of totals, the Eastern region was smallest with 1,220 teachers and the Southern region was largest with 5,212.

It should be noted again, that both Minnesota and Louisiana data are from the 1986 study (Camp, 1987). An explanation regarding the column labeled "Net Teachers Needed" is also in order. This figure represents the number of positions reported lost AFTER the end of the 1986-87 school year and should reflect on the 1988 position totals, to be collected this fall. The net change in number of positions in table 1 refers to the decrease in total numbers from 1985-86 to 1986-87.

Table 6
<u>Secondary Teaching Positions in Vocational Agriculture by Region and State on Dates Indicated</u> a

	Total Positions During SY 1986-87	Number of Departures During SY 1986-87	Change b In No. of Positions FY 87 to 88	Net Teachers Needed by 9/1/87	Teachers Needed but Not Avail 9/1/87						
Eastern region											
Connecticut	62	3	+1	4 0	0						
Delaware Maine	35 11	0 1	-1	0	0						
Maryland	84	13	-2	11	0						
Massachusetts	74	11	0	11	Ö						
New Hampshire	38	9	-1	8	1						
New Jersey	80	5	-1	4	0						
New York	365	26	-13	13	0						
Pennsylvania	318	12	-2	10	3						
Rhode Island	12	0	+1	1	0						
Vermont	25	4	-2	2	0						
West Virginia	116	4	-2	2	0						
Region Total	1,220	88	-22	66	4						
		Central	Region								
Illinois	405	90	-55	45	0						
Indiana	259	17	+2	19	0						
Iowa	268	9	-2	7	1						
Kansas	175	16	-2 +1	14 17	0						
Michigan Minnesota	183 459	16 64	-10	54	0						
Missouri	336	25	<u>-</u> 6	19	0						
Nebraska	132	18	-3	15	Ö						
North Dakota	86	20	-3	17	Ö						
Ohio	590	35	-9	26	0						
South Dakota	82	13	0	13	0						
Wisconsin	288	23	-6	17	0						
Region Total	3,263	346	-93	253	1						

Table 6 (continued)
Secondary Teaching Positions in Vocational Agriculture by Region and
State on Dates Indicated a

	Total Positions During SY 1986-87		Change b In No. of Positions FY 87 to 88	Net Teachers Needed by 9/1/87	Teachers Needed but Not Avail 9/1/87
		Western	Region		
Alaska	10	0	0	0	0
Arizona	68	8	+2	10	0
California	603	32	+30	62	0
Colorado	84	4	0	4	0
Hawaii	26	2	0	2	0
Idaho	82	10	0	10	0
Montana	77	11	-2	9	0
Nevada	20	2	0	2 -3	0
New Mexico	74	0	-3	-3	0
Oregon	120	12	-6	6	0
Utah	65	11	-2	9	4
Washington	230	19	-8	11	0
Wyoming	50	9	-1	8	0
Region Total	1,509	120	+10	110	4
		Southern	Region		
Alabama	383	24	+2	26	3
Arkansas	264	19	+1	20	0
Florida	470	18	-6	12	0
Georgia	329	21	-2	19	0
Kentucky	246	12	0	12	0
Louisiana	267	0	- 5	- 5	0
Mississippi	233	9	- 5	4	0
North Carolina	a 375	35	-10	25	0
Oklahoma	464	38	-3	35	0
South Carolina	a 151	26	-8	18	2
Tennessee	234	10	-1	9	0
Texas	1,471 c	92	-31	62	0
Virginia	325	16	-4	12	0
Region Total	5,212	320	-72	248	5
U. S. Total	11,204	874	-177	697	14

a Source: head state supervisors

b Reported net change by state refers to difference between number of positions in 1986-87 and 1987-88.

c Texas total for 1985-86 was incorrectly reported as 999 in the 1986 study. The correct total should have been 1539.

Graduates and Placements

Table 7 shows the overall teacher numbers, numbers of teachers newly qualified, numbers of those placed in agriculture teaching positions, and placement percentages, for school years 1985-86 and 1986-87, by region. As was noted last year (Camp, 1987) the placement rate, both nationally and by regions, remained well below the 20-year norm (about 50%), for another year, at 41.6%. All regions' placement rates were below that benchmark, with both Southern and Central region schools reporting 39.8% placement rates.

Southern region schools produced a slightly decreased number of newly qualified teachers -- from 478 in 1986 to 427 in 1987. All other regions' schools reported small increases.

Table 7
Placement of Agricultural Education Graduates by Regions in 1987

	Teaching Positions a			er of eachers ified	Newl Plac Teac	er of y Qual. ed in hing , C	Grad Plac	Percent of Graduates Placed in Teaching		
Fiscal Yea		1987	b 1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987		
Southern	5,433	5,212	478	427	172	170	36.0	39.8		
Central	3,321	3,263	270	284	109	113	40.4	39.8		
Western	1,526	1,509	157	179	92	88	58.6	49.3		
Eastern	1,302	1,220	59	62	24	25	40.7	40.3		
Total	11,582	11,204	964	952	397	396	41.2	41.6		

a Source: head state supervisors

b Source: head teacher educators

Total differs from that reported in Tables 1 and 7. Those table totals refer to new BS/BA graduates. These totals refer to all newly qualified teachers.

Table 8 breaks down the graduation and placement data by institution, and region. It provides a much more detailed look at the placement picture than the previous table. One noteworthy difference between data in this and previous tables, is that the "# Grads" refers to the number of four-year graduates in all agricultural education programs: teacher education, agricultural extension, and others (such as agricultural communications). This differs from the total qualified in tables 2 and 7, which refers only to teacher education program completers: four-year, five-year, and "other."

The institutions reporting the largest numbers of graduates were Mississippi State (65), Nebraska (58), and Texas A & M (46). On the other end of the continuum, six institutions with active undergraduate programs reported only one graduate each: Delaware, Vermont, Western Illinois, Tuskeegee, Tennessee Tech, and Tennessee-Martin. Because of the changes in certification patterns, several California institutions reported no four-year graduates.

Table 9 provides a breakdown, by region, of the sources of teacher replacements for the current school year. The largest number came from new BS/BA graduates (351). The second largest group was from transfers between schools (215). Teachers with emergency certification made up the third largest group (129) followed by returning agriculture teachers (101). That figure is particularly interesting, because returning former teachers provided almost one-third as many teachers as did new graduates. If that number is added to the number of previous agricultural education graduates entering teaching for the first time (47), the total is 148, which is over 40% of the number of new graduates entering teaching. The implication is that many of the teaching positions are being filled

by people in the pool of potential teachers trained in previous years. A question that must arise is how many years will that pool of earlier graduates and former teachers last?

Table 8
FY 1987 BS/BA Graduates in Agricultural Education and Placement, by Region and Institution a

	4	Тозо	hing	Acri-	Exten	Farm-	Grad	Other	Unem
Institution (# Grads	In	Out	Bus	sion	ing	Sch	Jobs	ploy
IIISCICUCIOII	JI aus			egion	31011	1119	5011	0000	PICY
		Lasc	CIII I	cgron					
U of Conn	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware State	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U of Delaware	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
U of Mass	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
U of MD, Col Pk	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
U of MD, E Shore	e 3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
U of Maine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U of New Hamp	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
Cornell U	18	6	0	1	3	1	3	7	0
Penn State	14	4	3	4	0	1	0	6	0
U of Rhode Is	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
U of Vermont	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
West Va U	11	4	2	0	3	0	2	0	0
Totals, Region	63	18	7	10	6	3	8	18	2
		Cent	ral R	egion					
Iowa State	35	10	3	15	1	2	1	2	1
Illinois State	6	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Southern IL U	22	8	1	0	0	3	3	0	0
U of Illinois	8	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Western IL U	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Purdue U	22	9	0	10	0	0	2	6	0
Kansas State U	19	7	1	0	0	1	4	2	1
Mich State U	13	5	0	4	0	0	1	3	0
U of Minnesota	17	4	0	3	1	0	2	4	3
NW Missouri St	8	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	0
U of Missouri	19	13	2	2	0	0	0	4	0
North Dakota St	25	8	3	4	2	3	3	1	1
U of Nebraska	58	5	1	5	0	1	1	1	7
Ohio State U	31	7	0	4	0	0	3	1	9
South Dakota St	26	4	1	6	2	4	4	3	2
U WI Plattevill	e 7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
U WI Madison	16	1	0	7	0	3	1	4	0
U WI River Fall	s 16	3	1	8	0	2	1	0	. 2
matala mani	2.40	0.0	1.5					2.4	
Totals, region	349	98	15	72	6	21	28	34	27

Table 8 (continued)
Graduates in Agricultural Education and Placement, by Region and
Institution a

institution a									b
	#	Teac	hing	Agri-	Exten	Farm-	Grad	Other	Unem
Institution	Grads	In	Out	Bus	sion	ing	Sch	Jobs	ploy
		Sout		Region					
Alabama A&M	12	1	0	1	0	0	4	3	3
Auburn U	14	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tuskeegee U	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
U Ark Pine Bluf		5	2	1	0	1	0	1	0
Arkansas St U	6	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
U Ark F-ville	16	12	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
U Florida	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
Ft Valley State	: 3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
U Georgia	10	16	0	2	2	0	1	2	0
Morehead St U	9	0	0	3	0	2	3	2	0
Murray St U	8	1	0	3	1	2	1	0	0
U Kentucky	10	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	0
Western Ky U	13	2	2	1	0	1	2	4	0
LSU	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Louisiana Tech		3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
SW Louisiana U	3	1	0	1	Ö	0	1	0	0
Alcorn St U	6	$\overline{4}$	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Miss St U	65	2	2	2	Ö	3	1	3	0
N Carolina A&T	13	2	Ō	2	3	Ō	3	4	Ö
NC State	17	11	0	4	0	0	1	3	0
Cameron U	3	0	1	Ō	0	2	0	0	0
OK State U	37	12	2	5	0	4	7	4	3
Panhandle St U	7	3	0	3	0	0	0	1	1
Clemson U	10	1	0	2	1	0	7	0	0
Middle TN State	8	2	0	3	0	1	1	1	0
Tenn Tech U	1	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tenn State U	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
U TN Knoxville	6	0	0	2	0	1	1	$\overline{1}$	1
U TN Martin	1	1	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
East TX State U	_	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Prairie View A8		Ö	Ō	$\overline{4}$	Ö	Ö	4	0	Ö
Sam Houston St	22	8	Ö	5	Ő	Ö	2	8	2
Southwest TX St		4	Ö	7	Ö	2	3	1	0
Stephen F Austi		2	Ö	4	Ö	0	4	3	0
Tarlton State U		3	0	5	0	3	3	7	1
Texas A&I U	9	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
Texas A&M	46	8	0	11	2		8	13	2
Texas Tech U	24	8 7			0	4	3		
Virginia St U	3	0	1	5		1		4	0
		5	_	1	2	0	0	0	0
Virginia Tech	24	Э	0	4	0	4	2	6	3
Totals, Region	497	153	17	93	16	34	66	68	21
, 3_0			_ ,	, ,		٠.	0.0		2 1

Table 8 (continued) Graduates in Agricultural Education and Placement, by Region and Institution a

									b
	#	Teach	-		Exten			Other	
Institution	Grads	In	Out	Bus	sion	ing	Sch	Jobs	ploy
Western Region									
U of Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U of Arizona	10	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Cal St U Fresno	20	4	0	9	0	0	1	6	0
Cal Poly Pomona	ı 0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Cal Poly San									
Luis Obispo	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Cal State Chico	13	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
U Cal Davis	10	5	0	2	0	0	2	1	0
Colorado St U	20	1	3	6	0	7	3	0	0
U of Idaho	12	8	1	0	0	1	2	0	1
Montana State (J 9	3	0	2	0	0	0	5	0
New Mexico St (10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Nevada Reno	10	01	0	3	0	0	0	7	0
Oregon State U	11	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Utah State U	9	2	1	1	1	0	0	3	1
Washington St (6	1	1	0	1	3	0	0
U of Wyoming	10	2	3	3	0	1	0	0	1
Totals, Region	170	74	14	32	1	10	12	28	10
Totals, US	1,079	343	53	207	29	68	114	142	60

Source: Head teacher educators а

Grads = graduates of 4-year agricultural education programs = qualified to teach from all sources (4-yr, 5-yr, oth.) # Qual

Teaching In = teaching vocational agriculture, in state

Teaching Out = teaching vocational agriculture, out of state

Farming = farming full time

Agri Bus = working in agricultural businesses

Extension Grad Sch = employed by extension service

= attending graduate school full time

Other Jobs = teaching other than Ag + military + miscellaneous jobs Unemploy = unemployed and looking for work on September 1, 1986

c No response

b Meanings of column headings:

Table 9
Sources of Teacher Replacement by Region, for beginning of SY 1987-88 a

Sources of Teacher		I	Region		
Replacement	Central	<u>Eastern</u>	Western	Southern	Total
Transfers Between School	73	16	22	104	215
Ag. Ed. B.S. 1987 Graduates b	125	16	67	151	359
Ag. Ed. M.S. 1987 Graduates	1	6	5	16	28
Other Agriculture 1987 Graduates	0	3	0	2	5
Other Education 1987 Graduates	0	1	4	0	5
Previous Ag./Ag. Ed. Graduates	9	8	16	14	47
Former Vo. Ag. Teachers	50	7	16	14	101
Non-degree	13	0	0	9	22
Emergency Certification	60	22	5	42	129
Other	20	13	5	15	53
Total	351	92	140	381	964

a Source: head state supervisors

b Total graduates placed as reported by teacher educators differ from reported sources of replacement from new ag. ed graduates as reported here, see tables 6 and 7

The number of extension positions taken by agricultural education graduates last fall totaled 29, table 8. But, table 10 reveals that only 9 of those were agricultural extension graduates. Overall, there were 67 extension graduates, 38 combination extension and teaching graduates, and 92 graduates of other programs, primarily agricultural communications.

Table 10 Non-Teacher Education Graduates and Placements, by Region, 1986-87 a

	Exte Graduates b	Other Graduates		
Southern	71	6	47	
Central	26	0	30	
Western	3	0	13	
Eastern	5	3	2	
Total	105	9	92	

a Source: Head teacher educators

There is one final finding that is not reflected in any table. Several years ago, the question arose as to why an apparently increasing proportion of our graduates were seeking other careers rather than teaching. In an attempt to get at part of the answer, at least from the teacher educator's perspective, the question was asked "In your opinion, of your graduates qualified to teach, how many wanted (didn't want) to teach vo. ag.?" Respondents estimated that over two-thirds (640) of the newly qualified graduates wanted

b Extension only (67) + combination extension/teaching (38)

to teach. That compares to only 41.9% (396) who found teaching jobs.

Conclusions

The job market for vocational agriculture teachers appeared to remain roughly in equilibrium in 1987. It is possible that a minor surplus of teachers was available at the salary levels being offered. More newly qualified prospective teachers were seeking employment in agricultural education than were hired. There were increases in the numbers of former teachers returning to the classroom and previous agricultural education graduates entering teaching for the first time. That implies that, in many cases, some experience, either in the classroom or in other positions, was needed to secure employment in teaching. On the other hand, the number of teachers still needed in September, the number of departments that could not open, and the number of emergency certified teachers all increased. Because the signs were so mixed, we conclude that, even though the job market was geographically spotty, with regional surpluses and regional shortages, overall, there was a minor nationwide surplus in the supply of qualified agriculture teachers in 1987.

That minor surplus resulted partly from a return to the profession of previously qualified teachers and teacher education graduates and was produced by increasing teacher salaries. The pool of potential teachers from previous years is not bottomless, yet the number of newly qualified prospective teachers continues to decline. The situation of former teachers and previous graduates returning to teaching cannot last forever. When the pool of prospective teachers consists almost totally of newly qualified graduates, a new shortage is quite likely.

Post-secondary programs of agricultural education are vastly different, in administration and in the nature of training required for teachers, from secondary vocational agriculture programs. As a result, the data sources that are good for collecting information on secondary programs is inadequate at the post-secondary level. Although this is the only source of national information on the status of post-secondary program teacher supply and demand, the data reported here must be held suspect.

As colleges and universities continue to expand agricultural extension programs at the undergraduate level, the placement rate for graduates remains dismally low. Training in agricultural extension at the undergraduate level appears not to be an effective preemployment option if actual placement in extension is the primary goal.

The supply and demand study for secondary level agricultural education remains a viable and important study to the profession.

Recommendations

- 1. Serious, nationwide, coordinated efforts at recruitment need to be considered by the professional associations. Although this recommendation is somewhat mitigated by the current balance in the job market, the pipeline cannot be allowed to "dry up" for teacher replacements.
- 2. The National Study of the Supply and Demand for Teachers of Vocational Agriculture should be continued, but in modified form.
- 3. Separate secondary level and post-secondary level studies should be conducted. Sources of data and interpretation of the results are so divergent, that one study cannot effectively analyze both populations.

4. Advisory committees should be established to help determine the form, purposes, and future of both studies. Because this is not a study initiated by any single researcher, but rather a service of an to the profession of agricultural education, the Professional Personnel Recruitment Committee of the Agricultural Education Division of the American Vocational Association should take responsibility for the formation of those committees.

References

- Camp, W. G. (1987). A National study of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in 1986. Blacksburg: Virginia Tech.
- Carnegie Forum on Education and the Economy. (1986). A nation prepared: Teachers for the twenty-first century. New York: Carnegie Corporation.
- Craig, D. G. (1985). A national study of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in 1984. Knoxville: The University of Tennessee.
- Craig, D. G. (1984). A national study of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in 1983. Knoxville: The University of Tennessee.
- Craig, D. G. (1983). A national study of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in 1982. Knoxville: The University of Tennessee.
- Craig, D. G. (1981). A national study of the supply and demand for teachers of vocational agriculture in 1980. Knoxville: The University of Tennessee.
- Frantz, N. R., Strickland, D. C., & Elson, D. E. (1987). High school requirements and vocational education enrollment pat-

- terns. Journal of Vocational and Technical Education. 3(2).
- Graybeal, W. S. (1981). <u>Teacher supply and demand in public</u>
 <u>schools, 1980-81</u>. Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- Hecker, D. (1986, winter). Teachers' job outlook: Is Chicken

 Little wrong again? Occupational Outlook Quarterly. Washington,

 DC: United States Department of Labor.
- Henry, S. (Ed.) (1986). Agriculture teachers directory. Greensburg, PA: Charles M. Henry Printing Co.
- Herren, R. (1987). <u>Directory of teacher educators in agriculture</u>.

 Athens: The University of Georgia.
- The Holmes Group. (1986). <u>Tomorrow's teachers</u>. East Lansing, MI: Author.
- Parmley, J. D., Bowen, B. E., & Warmbrod, J. R. (1979, August). The supply and demand of teachers of agriculture: can the situation be explained? Paper presented at the Central Region Research Conference in Agricultural Education: Manhattan, KS.
- Rogers, R. A. (1985). <u>Directory of agricultural teacher educators</u>.

 Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- U.S. Department of Education (1987). State officials with responsibility for programs of vocational education in agriculture.

 Washington, DC: Author.

SURVEY OF TEACHER SUPPLY IN VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE IN 1987

Name		Insti	cucton			The same and allow days army army from the	
1.	Total full-time, 4 school year 1986-8	_	ndergraduat	e en	rollment	in your institut	ion, in
	a. in college/scho	ol of agricult	ure	ď.	ın agrıcı	altural education	1
2.	Total number of ag	. ed. BS/BA gr	aduates for	sch	ool year	86-87	No. of Physics contain angular angular potentia
	a. teaching only			c.	teaching	& extension	
	b. extension only		AN AND THE TOP OF	d.		ajor apecify:	
3.	Total number of vo	ag teachers n	newly qualis	ied	for school	ol year 86-87	,000 Mar. 1900 Mar. 1909 Mar.
	a. 4-year prograb. 5-year degree		Mark major hadin steper mine major	c.	(please	ype program specify:	
4.	Of your newly qual		s, how many	are		ing jobs?	ness with the day of the page that
5.	In your opinion, o	f your graduat	tes qualifie	ed to	teach,	now many:	
	a. didn't want to	teach vo-ag	was one was done have been	b.	wented	to teach	stage area. States stages region respon
6.	Of your total grad	luates, indica	te their emp	oloym	ent stat	us as of Septemb	er 1:
	a. teaching vo-ag	in your state	gave agge were unter unter date	£.	farming	full time	
	b. teaching vo ag	in another at	ate	g.	graduat	e achool	and the side with the time
	c. teaching some o	ther subject	com again and union was obtain	h.	full ti	me military	with again helps stress where doubt
	d. working in agri	-business		i.	other w	ork	and also also also take
	e. employed by Ext	ension Service	e	J •	unemplo	yed & available	durine diddine religion disquire operatify stables
7.	Of your extension	graduates, ho	w many are	in ex	tension	joba?	and the case was about
8.	Of the graduates w	ho took Vo-Ag	jobs in ot	her s	states, p	lease list the n	umbers goir
	STATE	NUMBER ST	ATE		NUMBER	STATE	NUMBER

SURVEY OF TEACHER DEMAND IN VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE IN 1987

Nam	e Position State
	SECONDARY
1.	Number of SECONDARY teachers of vocational agriculture employed in your state during 1986-87 school year. (Note: This should match your 1986 report)
2.	Total number of those teachers leaving their teaching positions during or at the end of the school year.
3.	Number of vocational agriculture teachers still needed (on Sept 1) but not available.
4.	Change in number of vocational agriculture teaching positions:
	a. Number of new and additional positions which have become available for the current school year over the number for 1986-87.
	b. Number of positions discontinued at end of 1986-87 school year.
	c. Net change in number of positions from 1986-87 to this school year.
5.	Of the teachers hired for this year, how many were:
	a. transfers from one school to another
	b. new Ag Ed BS/BA graduates
	c. new Ag Ed MS/NA/MED graduates
	d. other new agriculture graduates
	e. other new education graduates
	f. previous ag ed graduates
	g. former vo ag teachers
	h. from agri-business
	i. from farming
). non-degree
	k. other
6.	Number of vocational agriculture teachers who held emergency certificates on September 1, 1987
7.	Number of departments which probably will not operate this year because of the lack of a teacher

SECONDARY (Continued)

8.	How	many teachers are teaching this fall in:	
	a.	junior high/middle school only	~~~
	ь.	high school only	dagu disad glada slada salas daser
	c.	high school & jr/middle school combined	ara saar rays teleb glafe ame
	d.	in-school & adult or young farmer combined	~
	e.	adult &/or young farmer only	
	f.	uncertain	many makes white states acres states
9.	How	many teachers are teaching this fall in:	
	a.	regular or comprehensive high schools	
	b.	vocational schools	THE THE BASE HIS THE THAT AND
	c.	area vocational high schools/centers	major series 6968 JAAN SALAS PART
	d.	uncertain	
10.	How	many teachers are teaching this fall in:	
	a. :	single teacher departments	
	b. 1	aultiple teacher departments	
	C. I	uncertain	
11.	How	meny teachers are teaching primarily in programs of:	
	a.	production agriculture	
	b.	ornamental horticulture	
	C.	natural resources	
	d.	agricultural products	whose should harder applies flower to the
	e.	agricultural mechanics	
	í.	agricultural sales and service	
	9.	part time production agriculture & some other program	
	ħ.	some other combination of agriculture courses	allow minima photos pagend discale small
	1 -	part time agriculture & part time something other than agriculture	· ·

POST-SECONDARY

1.	What is the total number (as of fall 1987):	
	e. institutions offering agriculture programs	THE SAME THIS TARK THE
	b. different programs of agriculture in those institutions	neer spin, spile stell neet stee
	c. teachers in those programs (total number)	
2.	How many of those teachers (as of fall, 1987) are teaching:	
	a. full time, in school only	
	b. part time, in school and other employment	man upp grip with one for
	c. combination in school and out of school adult	spin spin skip spin som van
	d. uncertain	mile time their side take time
3.	How many teachers (as of fall, 1987) are teaching in:	
	a. area vo-tech schools	name while state state state state
	b. community colleges	grav datil sale sale sale sale
	c. technical institutions	dia da da all de all de
	d. at a 4-year college or university	and controlled time name with
	e. uncertain	nion high year staff has the
4.	How many teachers (as of fall, 1987) are teaching in:	
	a. single teacher dept	ALLE BOTH SHOP SHOP SHOP
	b. multiple teacher department	was take take upor man
	c. uncertain	mayor militin philips shaker distance manar
5.	How many teachers (as of fall, 1987) are teaching primarily in:	•
	a. production agriculture	and may also have ver-
	b. ornamental horticulture	
	c. other specialized program	they very hard such such such
	d. part time production agriculture and part time other ag pro	ogram
dand speak been	e. uncertain	
Ret	turn by October 1, 1987 to: Dr. William G. Camp 121 Lane Hall, Virginia Te	ech

Blacksburg, VA 24061

(Envelope is provided)

VIRGINIA TECH

Division of Vocational & Technical Education

College of Education Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

August 17, 1987

Dear Colleague:

Well, it's about time again--time for the annual VO AG TEACHER SUPPLY AND DEMAND STUDY. For the past 22 years, the Ag Ed Division of AVA has aponsored this continuing and important study. I hope you received your copy of last year's study in June. If not, please let me know and I will send you another copy.

According to my records, you are the correct person to complete the enclosed <u>VO AG TEACHER SUPPLY/DEMAND SURVEY</u>. If that is incorrect, please:

- 1. send this to the correct person, AND
- let me know the correct person and address, so I can contact the right person for any necessary followups.

Otherwise, will you please take a few minutes and complete the enclosed survey form and return it to me within two weeks? Last year's study took 4 written followups and then a long series of telephone calls to complete. Because of that, it couldn't be printed until June. Please help me best that schedule this year.

I realize that the survey is long and takes some time to complete, but this study is important and it is used by teacher educators and state supervisors throughout America. If you don't have absolutely accurate data on a given item, please give me your best guess.

A self addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your use. Thank you for your dedication to agricultural education and for your assistance in completing this survey.

Sincerely,

William G. Camp

Associate Professor

Agricultural Education

NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

For further information: William G. Camp 224 Lane Hall Virginia Tech Blacksburg, VA 24061 (703) 961-6836

Nearly 900 new vocational agriculture teachers were hired in US schools last year. Vocational agriculture employed over 11,200 teachers nationwide in 1987, teaching such subjects as production agriculture, ornamental horticulture, forestry, agricultural mechanics, and natural resources conservation.

Vocational agriculture teachers are probably best known as advisors of FFA (Future Farmers of America) chapters, but their main job is preparing students for entry into jobs in the industry of agriculture and agri-Business.

Most people study to become agriculture teachers by majoring in agricultural education at their state land-grant colleges.

#